

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,925 07/14/2003		07/14/2003	Nicola John Policicchio	7368C3C	7978
27752	7590	04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
		GAMBLE COME	LE, HUYEN D		
		OPERTY DIVISIO INICAL CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE				3751	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/618,925	POLICICCHIO ET AL.				
Office Actio	on Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Huyen Le	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specifie - Failure to reply within the set or	F THIS COMMUNICATION. ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ed above, the maximum statutory period w rextended period for reply will, by statute, e later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3 (6(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>14 Ju</u>	<u>ly 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FIN	AL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this applica	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accorda	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/a	re pending in the application.						
• - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/a	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) a	re subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification i	s objected to by the Examiner	ſ.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/18/2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declar	ration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	pies of the priority documents						
	• •	s have been received in Application					
•	from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive	d III tilis National Stage				
• •		of the certified copies not receive	d				
OCC (HE altacHEG G	otanoa Omoc action for a list t	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)		. 🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "at least one notch" must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims 1 and 9. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 10-12, 14, 16-20, 21, 24-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6,669,391. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 10-12, 14, 16-20, 21, 24-33 of

Art Unit: 3751

the patent contain all limitations or more of claims 1-17 of the present application which describe a cleaning implement comprising a handle, a mop head, a liquid delivery system, and a disposable cleaning pad including at least one notch.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,306. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 4-9 of patent contain all limitations or more of claims 1-8 of the present application which a cleaning implement comprising a handle, a mop head, a liquid delivery system, and a disposable cleaning pad including at least one notch.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The FR-2575058 and Rader references show a cleaning element including a pad having a notch at the front and rear edges. The George, Buchanan and Roe et al references show a cleaning pad having a notch. The MacLeod, Mullinix et al, and Sims references show cleaning implements.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,925

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL April 13, 2004

> GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4